



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

09/885,186 06/20/2001 Kimmo Kinnunen 413-010355-US(PAR) 4625 2512 7590 10/05/2005 EXAMINER PERMAN & GREEN FOX, BRYAN J 425 POST ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
PERMAN & GREEN FOX, BRYAN J 425 POST ROAD	09/885,186 06/20/2001		06/20/2001	Kimmo Kinnunen	413-010355-US(PAR)	4625
425 POST ROAD	2512	7590	10/05/2005		EXAMINER	
	PERMAN	& GREE	N		FOX, BI	RYAN J
					ARTIBUT	DADED MUNICIPAL
		•			2686	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/885,186	KINNUNEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bryan J. Fox	2686					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ap	oril 2005.						
<u> </u>	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-11,13 and 15-20</u> is/are pending in th	e application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 3-11,13 and 15-20 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•						
		Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		,					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 3-6, 15, and \$\$\cdot 20\$ are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior et al (EP000913977A2) in view of Takafumi (JP11284706).

Regarding claim 20, Prior, et al discloses a radiotelephone handset with a keypad 7, which reads on the claimed "keypad", a display 3, which reads on the claimed "display", a microphone 6, which reads on the claimed "microphone" and an earpiece 5, which reads on the claimed "speaker" all located on the front of the shell and together read on the claimed "first functional elements placed on a front surface of the shell" (see figure 1). An on/off button 4 is located on the upper end of the shell and more keys are located on the side of the phone as can be seen in figure 2, which read on the claimed "second functional elements placed on an upper or side surfaces of the shell, wherein said second functional elements comprise various function keys". In figure 3, it can be seen that keys 25 and 26 are located on the rear of the phone, which read on the claimed "third functional elements placed on a rear surface of the shell of the shell". Prior, et al further discloses in column 5, lines 34-35 and in figure six an alternative user

Art Unit: 2686

interface on the rear of the handset, which reads on the claimed "wherein said first and second functional elements cooperate to provide a first user interface for normal use of the radio telephone, and said third and second function elements cooperate to provide a second user interface for use when said first functional elements are obstructed, and wherein said first user and said second user interface operate independently," wherein the functions on the back may be used without any use of the functions in the front of the phone and therefore are independent. Prior et al fails to disclose a speaker and a microphone on the back of the telephone.

In a similar field of endeavor, Takafumi discloses a portable telephone set with a speaker 4 and a microphone 6 placed on the opposite side of the display 2 and the operation section 3 (see "SOLUTION" section), which reads on the claimed "rear speaker and a rear microphone".

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Prior et al with Takafumi to include the above rear speaker and rear microphone in order to enhance the operability of a key operation section of the portable telephone set as suggested by Takafumi (see "PROBLEM TO BE SOLVED" section).

Regarding **claim 3**, the combination of Prior et al and Takafumi discloses in Prior column 1, lines 7-10 that important user interface considerations include the ease of which the phone can be transported (see Prior et al column 1, lines 7-10) and that the input means on the rear face of the handset, which reads on the claimed "third functional elements", promotes single handed operation (see Prior et al column 1, lines

30-31). It is clear that the phone is intended to be used while being carried in one hand, which reads on the claimed "carrying means".

Regarding **claim 4**, the combination of Prior et al and Takafumi discloses that a group may be selected by soft key 81 (see Prior et al column 7, lines 30-31), which reads on the claimed "group selector switch" and the soft key 81 is located on the rear of the phone (see Prior et al figure 8a), which reads on the claimed "third functional elements".

Regarding **claim 5**, the combination of Prior et al and Takafumi discloses an interface with actuable rolling means 111 which control the menu options on the display (see Prior et al figure 11 and column 9, lines 37-38), which reads on the claimed "rotary switch for selecting a group by turning the selector switch".

Regarding **claim 6**, the combination of Prior et al and Takafumi discloses that the soft key 81 can be depressed and as described above can be used to select a group (see Prior et al column 8, line 48), which reads on the claimed "pushbutton switch for selecting a group by pushing the selector switch".

Regarding **claim 15**, the combination of Prior et al and Takafumi discloses that the key 26 (see Prior et al figure 3) may have a dedicated function, such as a voice recognition function, which enables the user to store and retrieve data from the handset's memory (see Prior et al column 4, lines 54-57), which reads on the claimed "speech recognition button to use functions in the phone that can be controlled by the user's voice commands".

Art Unit: 2686

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior, et al in view of Takafumi as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Davidson, et al (US005841855A).

Regarding **claim 7**, the combination of Prior, et al and Takafumi fails to disclose a key to select a previous group.

In a similar field of endeavor, Davidson, et al discloses a terminal with a back key 221 (see figure 3) and in column 4, lines 7-8 describes that its function is to allow a user to back up menu screen by menu screen, which reads on the claimed "pushing of the said group selector switch is arranged so as to select the previous selected group".

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Prior, et al and Takafumi to include the back button in Davidson, et al in order to create a more user-friendly and intuitive interface.

Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Prior, et al in view of Takafumi as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Bannister, et al (2012199).

Regarding **claim 8**, the combination of Prior, et al and Takafumi fails to disclose a voice response function arranged in conjunction with the group selector switch to convey information to the user by means of a recorded voice message.

In a similar field of endeavor, Bannister, et al discloses an audible voice signal which may be included in the presenting means on page 10, lines 20-21, which reads

Art Unit: 2686

on the claimed "voice response function arranged in conjunction with the group selector switch to convey information to the user by means of a recorded voice message".

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Prior, et al and Takafumi with Bannister, et al to include the above audible voice signal included in the presenting means in order to allow user operation without referring to the display panel as suggested by Bannister, et al (see page 10, lines 18-24).

Regarding **claim 9**, the combination of Prior, et al and Takafumi fails to disclose that a group name or index is given in the said recorded voice message.

In a similar field of endeavor, Bannister, et al discloses on page 10, line 1 that selection of a soft key in one menu can cause another menu to be displayed. Each menu is a group and the soft key that selects the menu is a group selector switch.

Bannister, et al further discloses on lines 24-26 that the message is relayed as each soft key is highlighted, which reads on the claimed "group name or index is given in the said recorded voice message".

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Prior, et al and Takafumi with Bannister, et al to include the above message function in order to in prevent the need for a user to divert his attention to a display when in a situation such as driving a car.

Regarding **claim 10**, the combination of Prior et al, Takafumi fails to expressly disclose a delay between the voice message and the transition to the group.

Art Unit: 2686

In a similar field of endeavor, Bannister, et al discloses that the function of the softkey is read off as it is highlighted, after which it may be selected and the new menu is displayed (see Bannister et al page 10, lines 24-26). Therefore, there must be a delay between the message and the transition to the group as claimed.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Prior, et al and Takafumi with Bannister to include the above transition delay in order to allow a user to best take advantage of the function of a softkey with announcements.

Regarding **claim 11**, the combination of Prior et al and Takafumi fails to expressly disclose a recorded voice message that gives confirmation of the selection of a group.

In a similar field of endeavor, Bannister, et al discloses that as each soft key is highlighted it can be read to the user by a voice synthesizer (see Bannister et al page 10, lines 24-26), so as each group, represented by a soft key, is selected, or highlighted, the voice synthesizer reads the name to confirm selection, as claimed.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Prior, et al and Takafumi with Bannister, et al to include the above voice synthesized read-off of a selected soft key in order to allow user operation without referring to the display panel as suggested by Bannister, et al (see page 10, lines 18-24).

Art Unit: 2686

Claims 13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior, et al in view of Takafumi as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Gordon (US005884156A).

Regarding **claim 13**, the combination of Prior et al and Takafumi fails to disclose that the phone could function as a direct channel radio.

In a similar field of endeavor, Gordon discloses in column 1, lines 7-8 that his device could provide communications in a telephony mode, which reads on the claimed "systems radio", and in a radio dispatch mode, which reads on the claimed "direct channel radio".

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Prior et al and Takafumi to include the above radio dispatch mode disclosed by Gordon in order to eliminate the need for two separate devices and reuse some of the common circuitry.

Regarding **claim 17**, the combination of Prior et al and Takafumi fails to disclose a method to switch automatically between two speakers.

In a similar field of endeavor, Gordon discloses a proximity sensor to determine when a user is near the first side of the housing and the operation of the first and second speaker is controlled accordingly (see column 1, lines 55-60), which reads on the claimed "automatic function for setting the voice messages to be automatically reproduced by the rear speaker when the phone is in carrying means and for setting the voice message to be reproduced by the speaker when the phone is not in the carrying means".

Art Unit: 2686

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the above combination of Prior et al, Takafumi and Gordon to include the automatic function in order to eliminate the need for the user to manually switch between the two speakers.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior, et al in view of Takafumi as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Fishman (US005655017A).

Regarding **claim 16**, the combination of Prior, et al and Takafumi fails to disclose a selection switch to choose the speaker.

In a similar field of endeavor, Fishman discloses a phone with a normal speaker 20 and an additional speaker 26 in the rear (see figure 1) and that a separate switch can be provided to turn the speakerphone on and off (see column 3, lines 49-51), which reads on the claimed "selection switch for setting the incoming voice messages to be reproduced by the speaker or the rear speaker".

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Prior, et al and Takafumi with Fishman to include a switch to choose which speaker is used in order to allow a user to customize the unit to function according to his preferences.

Art Unit: 2686

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior et al in view of Takafumi as applied to claim 20 above, and further in view of what is old and well known in the art.

Regarding **claim 18**, the combination of Prior et al and Takafumi fails to expressly disclose that the phone may be used by a governmental authority.

The examiner takes official notice that phones used by governmental authorities were well known at the time of the invention and it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Prior et al and Takafumi such that governmental authorities may use the phone.

Regarding **claim 19**, the combination of Prior et al and Takafumi fails to expressly disclose that the phone may be used by civilians.

The examiner takes official notice that phones used by governmental authorities were well known at the time of the invention and it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Prior et al and Takafumi such that civilians may use the phone.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 22, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that Prior et al fails to disclose two user interfaces. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The functions provided on the rear of the phone mirror some of the functions on the front of the phone (see paragraph 27) and therefore read on another user interface.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan J. Fox whose telephone number is (571) 272-7908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2686

Page 12

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bryan Fox October 2, 2005 Marsha D. Banks-Hardd MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600